

THE DE RHAM COHOMOLOGY OF DRINFELD'S HALF SPACE

SASCHA ORLIK

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{P}_K^d$ be Drinfeld's half space over a p -adic field K . The de Rham cohomology of $\mathcal{X}$ was first computed by Schneider and Stuhler [SS]. Afterwards there were given different proofs by Alon, de Shalit, Iovita and Spiess [AdS, dS, IS]. This paper presents yet another approach for the determination of these invariants by analysing the de Rham complex of $\mathcal{X}$ from the viewpoint of results given in [O], [OS].

1. INTRODUCTION

Let p be a prime number and let K be a finite extension of the field of p -adic numbers $\mathbb{Q}_p$. We denote by $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_K^{(d+1)} = \mathbb{P}_K^d \setminus \bigcup_{H \subsetneq K^{d+1}} \mathbb{P}(H)$ (the complement of all K -rational hyperplanes in projective space) Drinfeld's half space [D] of dimension $d \geq 1$ over K . It is a rigid analytic variety over K which is equipped with an action of the p -adic Lie group $G = \mathrm{GL}_{d+1}(K)$. In [SS] Schneider and Stuhler determined the cohomology of $\mathcal{X}$ for any “good” cohomology theory (e.g. the étale and the de Rham cohomology) as G -representations. Here they make only use of the “good” properties as homotopy invariance, existence of a product structure etc. It turns out that the de Rham cohomology is given by

$$(1.1) \quad H_{\mathrm{dR}}^*(\mathcal{X}) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^d \mathrm{Hom}_K(v_{P_{(d+1-i, 1, \dots, 1)}}^G, K)[-i].$$

Here $P_{(d+1-i, 1, \dots, 1)}$ is the (lower) standard parabolic subgroup of G which corresponds to the decomposition $(d+1-i, 1, \dots, 1)$ of $d+1$. Further for a parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$, the smooth generalized Steinberg representation v_P^G is the unique irreducible quotient of the smooth unnormalized induced representation $i_P^G = \mathrm{ind}_P^G(K)$ with respect to the trivial P -representation [BW, Ca]. A few years later there were given different proofs of this result by Alon, de Shalit, Iovita and Spiess [AdS, dS, IS] by relating differential forms on $\mathcal{X}$ with harmonic cochains on the Bruhat-Tits building of G and considering logarithmic forms, respectively.

In this short notice we explain how we can determine the de Rham cohomology of $\mathcal{X}$ from its de Rham complex

$$\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{X}) : 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \Omega^1(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Omega^d(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow 0$$

by applying some recent results given in [O, OS]. Here for $i = 0, \dots, d$, the expression $\Omega^i(\mathcal{X}) = H^0(\mathcal{X}, \Omega^i)$ is the space of $\mathcal{X}$ -valued sections of the usual homogeneous vector bundle Ω^i on projective space $\mathbb{P}_K^d$. Further the de Rham cohomology of $\mathcal{X}$ is the ordinary cohomology of the above complex since $\mathcal{X}$ is a Stein space. In contrast to the generalized Steinberg representations v_P^G the contributions $\Omega^i(\mathcal{X})$ in the de Rham complex are much bigger objects. Indeed they are reflexive K -Fréchet spaces with a continuous G -action [ST1]. Their strong duals $\Omega^i(\mathcal{X})'$, $i = 0, \dots, d$, (i.e., the K -vector space of continuous linear forms equipped with the strong topology of bounded convergence) are locally analytic G -representations in the sense of Schneider and Teitelbaum [ST2]. More generally, the same holds true for arbitrary homogeneous vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}_K$. In [O] there is constructed for any such homogeneous vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$, a decreasing filtration by closed G -stable subspaces

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{X})^0 \supset \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{X})^1 \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{X})^{d-1} \supset \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{X})^d = H^0(\mathbb{P}^d, \mathcal{E})$$

on $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{X})^0 = \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{X})$. As we will see in the next section the filtration behaves functorially in $\mathcal{E}$. Hence we get a filtered de Rham complex

$$(1.3) \quad (0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{X})^j \rightarrow \Omega^1(\mathcal{X})^j \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Omega^d(\mathcal{X})^j \rightarrow 0)_{j=0, \dots, d}.$$

In this paper we analyse its induced spectral sequence

$$E_0^{p,q} = \mathrm{gr}^p(\Omega^{p+q}(\mathcal{X})) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{X})),$$

cf. [EGAI]. The main theorem of this paper is the following not surprising statement.

Theorem 1. *The spectral sequence E_0 attached to the filtered de Rham complex (1.3) degenerates at E_1 and yields the cohomology formula (1.1).*

2. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We begin by recalling some terminology used in [O]. The following lines are an extract of [O, Section 1].

We consider the action of G on projection space $\mathbb{P}_K^d$ given by

$$g \cdot [q_0 : \cdots : q_d] := [q_0 : \cdots : q_d] g^{-1}.$$

We fix a homogeneous vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathbb{P}_K^d$ and let $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G$ be the Lie algebra of G . Then $\mathcal{E}$ is naturally a $\mathfrak{g}$ -module, i.e., there is a homomorphism of Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{E})$ which extends to the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Fix an integer $0 \leq j \leq d-1$ and let

$$\mathbb{P}_K^j = V(X_{j+1}, \dots, X_d) \subset \mathbb{P}_K^d$$

be the closed K -subvariety defined by the vanishing of the coordinates $X_{j+1}, \dots, X_d$. Let $P_{j+1} = P_{(j+1, d-j)} \subset G$ is the (lower) standard-parabolic subgroup attached to the decomposition $(j+1, d-j)$ of $d+1$. It is clearly the stabilizer of $\mathbb{P}_K^j$ under the above action. Both the Zariski cohomology $H^*(\mathbb{P}_K^d \setminus \mathbb{P}_K^j, \mathcal{E})$ and the algebraic local cohomology $H_{\mathbb{P}_K^j}^*(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \mathcal{E})$ are thus equipped with an action of the semi-direct product $P_{(j+1, d-j)} \ltimes U(\mathfrak{g})$. Here the semi-direct product is as usual induced by the adjoint action of $P_{(j+1, d-j)}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$. Further the natural long exact sequence

$$(2.1) \quad \cdots \rightarrow H^{i-1}(\mathbb{P}_K^d \setminus \mathbb{P}_K^j, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{P}_K^j}^i(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H^i(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H^i(\mathbb{P}_K^d \setminus \mathbb{P}_K^j, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

is equivariant with respect to this action. By general arguments in local cohomology theory [Ha2], one deduces that

$$(2.2) \quad H_{\mathbb{P}_K^j}^i(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \mathcal{E}) = \begin{cases} 0 & ; \quad i < d-j \\ H^i(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \mathcal{E}) & ; \quad i > d-j \end{cases}.$$

In the case $i = d-j$, we have thus an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^{d-j-1}(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \mathcal{E}) &\rightarrow H^{d-j-1}(\mathbb{P}_K^d \setminus \mathbb{P}_K^j, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{P}_K^j}^{d-j}(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \mathcal{E}) \\ &\rightarrow H^{d-j}(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

We set

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_{\mathbb{P}_K^j}^{d-j}(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \mathcal{E}) &:= \ker \left(H_{\mathbb{P}_K^j}^{d-j}(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H^{d-j}(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \mathcal{E}) \right) \\ &\cong \text{coker} \left(H^{d-j-1}(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H^{d-j-1}(\mathbb{P}_K^d \setminus \mathbb{P}_K^j, \mathcal{E}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

which is consequently a $P_{(j+1, d-j)} \ltimes U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module.

For an arbitrary parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$, let $\mathcal{O}^{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the full subcategory of the category $\mathcal{O}$ (in the sense of Bernstein, Gelfand, Gelfand [BGG]) consisting of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules of type $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Lie } P$. We let $\mathcal{O}_{\text{alg}}^{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{O}^{\mathfrak{p}}$ given by objects M such that all $\mathfrak{p}$ -representations appearing in M are induced by finite-dimensional algebraic P -representations, cf. [OS].

Lemma 2. *The $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module $\tilde{H}_{\mathbb{P}_K^j}^{d-j}(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \mathcal{E})$ lies in the category $\mathcal{O}_{\text{alg}}^{\mathfrak{p}_{(j+1, d-j)}}$.*

Proof. This is an easy consequence of [O, Lemma 1.2.1] which states the existence of a finite-dimensional algebraic $P_{(j+1,d-j)}$ -module which generates $\tilde{H}_{\mathbb{P}_K^j}^{d-j}(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \mathcal{E})$ as $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module. $\square$

The next statement is the main result of [O]. For its formulation we need some more notation. Denote by $\text{Rep}_K^{\ell a}(G)$ the category of locally analytic G -representations with coefficients in K . For a parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$, let

$$\text{Ind}_P^G : \text{Rep}_K^{\ell a}(P) \rightarrow \text{Rep}_K^{\ell a}(G)$$

be the locally analytic induction functor [F]. Let $\text{St}_{d-j} = v_B^{\text{GL}_{d-j}}$ be the Steinberg representation of $\text{GL}_{d-j}(K)$, $j = 0, \dots, d$. We consider St_{d-j} as a representation of $P_{(j+1,d-j)}$ via the trivial action of the unipotent radical of $P_{(j+1,d-j)}$ and the factor $\text{GL}_{j+1}(K) \subset L_{(j+1,d-j)}$, respectively. We equip St_{d-j} with the finest locally convex topology so that it becomes a locally analytic P -representation [ST2]. Thus for any algebraic representation N of $P_{(j+1,d-j)}$, the tensor product $N \otimes \text{St}_{d-j}$ is a locally analytic representation. In particular this applies to the G -representation $H^{d-j}(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \mathcal{E})' \otimes v_{P_{(j+1,1,\dots,1)}}^G$ which we also denote by $v_{P_{(j+1,1,\dots,1)}}^G(H^{d-j}(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \mathcal{E})')$.

Theorem 3. *For $j = 0, \dots, d-1$, there are extensions of locally analytic G -representations*

$$0 \rightarrow v_{P_{(j+1,1,\dots,1)}}^G(H^{d-j}(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \mathcal{E})') \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{X})^j / \mathcal{E}(X)^{j+1})' \rightarrow \text{Ind}_{\underline{P}_{j+1}}^G(U_j')^{\mathfrak{d}_j=0} \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. This is [O, Theorem 1]. $\square$

Here the $\underline{P}_{j+1}$ -representation U_j' is a tensor product $N_j' \otimes \text{St}_{d-j}$ of an algebraic $\underline{P}_{j+1}$ -representation N_j' and St_{d-j} . The symbol $\mathfrak{d}_j$ indicates a system of differential equations depending on N_j . The latter object is characterized by the property that it generates the kernel of the natural homomorphism $H_{\mathbb{P}_K^j}^{d-j}(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H^{d-j}(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \mathcal{E})$ as a module with respect to $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

This is exactly the starting point of the main construction in [OS]. In fact the locally analytic G -representation $\text{Ind}_{\underline{P}_{j+1}}^G(U_j')^{\mathfrak{d}_j}$ above can be characterized as the image of the object $\tilde{H}_{\mathbb{P}_K^j}^{d-j}(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \mathcal{E}) \times \text{St}_{d-j}$ under a functor

$$\mathcal{F}_{\underline{P}_{j+1}}^G : \mathcal{O}_{\text{alg}}^{\mathfrak{p}_{j+1}} \times \text{Rep}_K^\infty(\underline{L}_{j+1}) \longrightarrow \text{Rep}_K^{\ell a}(G),$$

i.e.

$$\text{Ind}_{\underline{P}_{j+1}}^G(U_j')^{\mathfrak{d}_j} = \mathcal{F}_{\underline{P}_{j+1}}^G(\tilde{H}_{\mathbb{P}_K^j}^{d-j}(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \mathcal{E}), \text{St}_{d-j}).$$

Here $\text{Rep}_K^\infty(L_{j+1})$ is the category of smooth L_{j+1} -representations with coefficients over K .

Let us briefly recall the definition of this functor for an arbitrary parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$ with Levi decomposition $P = L \cdot U$. Let M be an object of $\mathcal{O}_{\text{alg}}^{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then there is a surjective map

$$\phi : U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} W \rightarrow M$$

for some finite-dimensional algebraic P -representation $W \subset M$. Let V be a additionally a smooth L -representation. We consider V via the trivial action of U as a P -representation. As explained above the tensor product representation $W' \otimes_K V$ is a locally analytic P -representation. Then

$$\mathcal{F}_P^G(M, V) = \text{Ind}_P^G(W' \otimes_K V)^{\mathfrak{d}}$$

denotes the subset of functions $f \in \text{Ind}_P^G(W' \otimes_K V)$ which are killed by the ideal $\mathfrak{d} = \ker(\phi)$. In loc.cit. it is shown that this subset is a well-defined G -stable closed subspace of $\text{Ind}_P^G(W' \otimes_K V)$ and has therefore a natural structure of a locally analytic G -representation. The resulting functor is contravariant in the first and covariant in the second variable. It is proved in [OS, Prop. 4.10 a)] that $\mathcal{F}_P^G$ is exact in both arguments.

Now we come to the functoriality aspect concerning the filtration (1.2) mentioned in the introduction.

Lemma 4. *Let $f : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'$ be a homomorphism of homogeneous vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}_K^d$. Then f induces a G -equivariant homomorphism $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{X})^i \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'(\mathcal{X})^i$ for all $i \geq 0$.*

Proof. The definition of the filtration involves only the geometry of $\mathcal{X}$ (being the complement of an hyperplane arrangement) and not the homogeneous vector bundle itself. In fact, the K -Fréchet space $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{X}) = H^0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E})$ appears in an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{Y}}^1(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow 0.$$

We consider the K -Fréchet space $H_{\mathcal{Y}}^1(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \mathcal{E})$, where $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathbb{P}_K^d$ is the "closed" complement of $\mathcal{X}$ in $\mathbb{P}_K^d$. The filtration is induced (by taking the preimage) by one on $H_{\mathcal{Y}}^1(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \mathcal{E})$ which we briefly review. Here all geometric objects are considered as pseudo-adic spaces in the sense of [Hu].

Let $\{e_0, \dots, e_d\}$ be the standard basis of $V = K^{d+1}$ and let Δ be the standard basis of simple roots with respect to the Borel subgroup of lower triangular matrices. For any $\alpha_i \in \Delta$, put $V_{\alpha_i} = V_i = \bigoplus_{j=0}^i K \cdot e_j$ and set $Y_{\alpha_i} = Y_i = \mathbb{P}(V_i)$. For any subset $I \subset \Delta$ with $\Delta \setminus I = \{\alpha_{i_1} < \dots < \alpha_{i_r}\}$, let $Y_I = Y_{\alpha_{i_1}} = \mathbb{P}(V_{i_1})$. Furthermore, let P_I be

the lower parabolic subgroup of G , such that I coincides with the set of simple roots appearing in the Levi factor of P_I . Then gY_I is a closed subset of $\mathcal{Y}$ and we denote by $\Phi_{g,I} : gY_I \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ the corresponding embedding. Let $\mathbb{Z}$ be the constant sheaf on $\mathcal{Y}$ and set $\mathbb{Z}_{g,I} := (\Phi_{g,I})_*(\Phi_{g,I}^*(\mathbb{Z}))$. Then

$$\prod'_{g \in G/P_I} \mathbb{Z}_{g,I} \subset \prod_{g \in G/P_I} \mathbb{Z}_{g,I}$$

denotes the subsheaf of locally constant sections with respect to the topological space G/P_I . In [O, 2.1] we proved that there is an acyclic resolution

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{I \subset \Delta \\ |\Delta \setminus I| = 1}} \prod'_{g \in G/P_I} \mathbb{Z}_{g,I} &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{I \subset \Delta \\ |\Delta \setminus I| = 2}} \prod'_{g \in G/P_I} \mathbb{Z}_{g,I} \rightarrow \cdots \\ &\cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{I \subset \Delta \\ |\Delta \setminus I| = d-1}} \prod'_{g \in G/P_I} \mathbb{Z}_{g,I} \rightarrow \prod'_{g \in G/P_\emptyset} \mathbb{Z}_{g,\emptyset} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

of the constant sheaf $\mathbb{Z}$ on $\mathcal{Y}$. By applying the functor $\text{Hom}(i_*(-), \mathcal{E})$ to this complex, we get a spectral sequence converging to $H_{\mathcal{Y}}^1(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \mathcal{E})$. Finally the filtration on $H_{\mathcal{Y}}^1(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \mathcal{E})$ is just the one induced by this spectral sequence. It follows now easily from the construction that f is compatible with the filtrations on $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\mathcal{E}'(\mathcal{X})$. $\square$

The de Rham complex together with Lemma 4 induces complexes

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{X})^j / \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{X})^{j+1} \rightarrow \Omega^1(\mathcal{X})^j / \Omega^1(\mathcal{X})^{j+1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Omega^d(\mathcal{X})^j / \Omega^d(\mathcal{X})^{j+1} \rightarrow 0,$$

$j = 0, \dots, d-1$, which form just the E_0 -term of the spectral sequence attached to the filtered de Rham complex (1.3). Apart from the terms $v_{P_{(j+1,1,\dots,1)}}^G(H^{d-j}(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \Omega^i)')$, $i = 0, \dots, d$, appearing in Theorem 3, this complex coincides by what we observed above with the complex

$$\begin{aligned} (2.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\underline{P}_{j+1}}^G(\tilde{H}_{\mathbb{P}_K^j}^{d-j}(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \Omega^d), \text{St}_{d-j}) &\rightarrow \cdots \\ \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\underline{P}_{j+1}}^G(\tilde{H}_{\mathbb{P}_K^j}^{d-j}(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \Omega^1), \text{St}_{d-j}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\underline{P}_{j+1}}^G(\tilde{H}_{\mathbb{P}_K^j}^{d-j}(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \mathcal{O}), \text{St}_{d-j}) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5. *The above complex is acyclic.*

Proof. By the exactness of the functor $\mathcal{F}_P^G$ in the first entry it suffices to prove that the complex

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{\mathbb{P}_K^j}^{d-j}(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{\mathbb{P}_K^j}^{d-j}(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \Omega^1) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{\mathbb{P}_K^j}^{d-j}(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \Omega^d) \rightarrow 0$$

of $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules is acyclic. Set $V = \mathbb{P}_K^d \setminus \mathbb{P}_K^j = \bigcup_{k=j+1}^d D(T_k)$. Then by identity (2.3) we have the description

$$\tilde{H}_{\mathbb{P}_K^j}^{d-j}(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \Omega^i) \cong \text{coker}(H^{d-j-1}(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \Omega^i) \rightarrow H^{d-j-1}(V, \Omega^i))$$

for all $i \geq 0$. Therefore it is enough to prove that the cohomology in degree $d - j - 1$ of the complex

$$0 \rightarrow H^{d-j-1}(V, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^{d-j-1}(V, \Omega^1) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow H^{d-j-1}(V, \Omega^d) \rightarrow 0$$

induces $H^{d-j-1}(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \Omega^{d-j-1})$ and vanishes elsewhere. For this issue, we consider the double complex

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \bigoplus_{k=j+1}^d \Omega^d(D(T_k)) & \rightarrow & \bigoplus_{j+1 \leq k < l \leq d} \Omega^d(D(T_k) \cap D(T_l)) & \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow & \Omega^d(\bigcap_{k=j+1}^d D(T_k)) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \bigoplus_{k=j+1}^d \Omega^i(D(T_k)) & \rightarrow & \bigoplus_{j+1 \leq k < l \leq d} \Omega^i(D(T_k) \cap D(T_l)) & \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow & \Omega^i(\bigcap_{k=j+1}^d D(T_k)) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \bigoplus_{k=j+1}^d \Omega^1(D(T_k)) & \rightarrow & \bigoplus_{j+1 \leq k < l \leq d} \Omega^1(D(T_k) \cap D(T_l)) & \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow & \Omega^1(\bigcap_{k=j+1}^d D(T_k)) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \bigoplus_{k=j+1}^d \mathcal{O}(D(T_k)) & \rightarrow & \bigoplus_{j+1 \leq k < l \leq d} \mathcal{O}(D(T_k) \cap D(T_l)) & \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}(\bigcap_{k=j+1}^d D(T_k)) \end{array}$$

whose total complex gives rise to the de Rham cohomology of V , cf. [Gr]. Since $H_{\mathbb{P}_K^j}^k(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \Omega^i) = 0$ for all $k < d - j - 1$ by identity (2.2), we see that $H^k(V, \Omega^i) = H^k(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \Omega^i)$ for all such indices k . On the other hand, we have the following well-known chain of identities

$$K = H^0(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \mathcal{O}) = H^1(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \Omega^1) = \cdots = H^d(\mathbb{P}_K^d, \Omega^d),$$

cf. [Ha1]. All other cohomology groups vanish. Evaluating the double complex along the horizontal lines we get thus the E_1 -term:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & H^{d-j-1}(V, \Omega^d) \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow & K & \rightarrow & H^{d-j-1}(V, \Omega^{d-j-1}) \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\vdots & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & K & \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & H^{d-j-1}(V, \Omega^1) \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
K & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & H^{d-j-1}(V, \mathcal{O})
\end{array}$$

But the de Rham cohomology of V is easily computed in another way. In fact, using the comparison isomorphism with Betti cohomology [Gr] and the long exact cohomology sequence for constant coefficients (2.1), we see that $H_{\text{dR}}^*(V) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{d-j-1} K[-2i]$. The claim follows. $\square$

For the proof of Theorem 1, we recall that $E_0^{p,q} = \text{gr}^p(\Omega^{p+q}(\mathcal{X})) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{X}))$ is the induced spectral sequence of our filtered de Rham complex.

Corollary 6. *The E_1 -term of the above spectral sequence has the shape*

$$E_1^{p,q} = \begin{cases} \text{Hom}_K(v_{P_{(d+1-p,1,\dots,1)}}^G, K) & q = 0 \\ 0 & q \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

for $p \geq 0$. Hence it degenerates at E_1 and we get the formula (1.1).

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1. $\square$

Acknowledgments: I wish to thank Ehud de Shalit for his remarks on this paper.

REFERENCES

- [AdS] G. Alon, E. de Shalit, *On the cohomology of Drinfeld's p -adic symmetric domain*, Israel J. of Mathematics, vol. **129**, 1-20 (2002)

- [BGG] I.N. Bernštein, I.M. Gel'fand, S.I. Gel'fand, *A certain category of $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules*, Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. **10**, 1–8 (1976).
- [BW] A. Borel, N. Wallach, *Continuous cohomology, discrete subgroups, and representations of reductive groups*, Second edition. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **67**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2000).
- [Ca] W. Casselman, *A new nonunitarity argument for p -adic representations*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **28**, no. 3, 907–928 (1982).
- [D] V.G. Drinfeld, *Coverings of p -adic symmetric regions*, Funct. Anal. and Appl. **10**, 29 - 40 (1976).
- [EGAIII] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. III, Étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents. I.*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. **11** (1961).
- [F] C.T. Féaux de Lacroix, *Einige Resultate über die topologischen Darstellungen p -adischer Liegruppen auf unendlich dimensionalen Vektorräumen über einem p -adischen Körper*, Schriftenreihe des Mathematischen Instituts der Universität Münster, 3. Serie, **23**, Univ. Münster, Mathematisches Institut, i-vii, 1-111 (1999).
- [Gr] A. Grothendieck, *On the de Rham cohomology of algebraic varieties*, Publications mathématiques de I.H.É.S, tome **29**, p. 95-103 (1966).
- [Ha1] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. **52**, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg (1977).
- [Ha2] R. Hartshorne, *Local cohomology. A seminar given by A. Grothendieck*, Harvard University, Notes by R. Hartshorne (1961). [B] Lecture Notes in Mathematics. 41. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer-Verlag. 106 p. (1967).
- [Hu] R. Huber, *Étale Cohomology of Rigid Analytic Varieties and Adic spaces*, Aspects of Math., Vol **E 30**, Vieweg (1996).
- [IS] A. Iovita, M. Spiess, *Logarithmic differential forms on p -adic symmetric spaces*, Duke Math. J. Volume **110**, Number 2, 253-278 (2001).
- [O] S. Orlik, *Equivariant vector bundles on Drinfeld's upper half space*, Invent. math. **172**, 585 - 656 (2008).
- [OS] S. Orlik, M. Strauch, *On the Jordan-Hölder series of locally analytic principal series representations*, arXiv:1001.0323, submitted.
- [dS] E. de Shalit, *Residues on buildings and de-Rham cohomology of p -adic symmetric domains*, Duke Math. J., vol. **106**, 123-191 (2000).
- [ST1] P. Schneider, J. Teitelbaum, *p -adic boundary values. Cohomologies p -adiques et applications arithmétiques*, I. Asterisque No. **278**, 51–125 (2002).
- [ST2] P. Schneider, J. Teitelbaum, *Locally analytic distributions and p -adic representation theory, with applications to GL_2* , J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15**, no. 2, 443–468 (2002).
- [SS] P. Schneider, U. Stuhler, *The cohomology of p -adic symmetric spaces*, Invent. math. **105**, 47-122 (1991).

FACHGRUPPE MATHEMATIK, BERGISCHE UNIVERSITÄT WUPPERTAL, GAUSSSTRASSE 20, D-42119 WUPPERTAL, GERMANY

E-mail address: orlik@math.uni-wuppertal.de